

Text for questions from 21 through 26

**No burqa bans**

Why is it nearly always wrong to outlaw the wearing of the Muslim veil?

1 What you wear is a statement of who you are. From the old man's cardigan and frayed tie to the youngster's torn jeans plus lip-stud, dress stands for identity. For that reason laws on  
4 clothing should be avoided unless there is a compelling case for them. There is no such case for the Dutch government's plan to outlaw the wearing in all public places of the face-covering  
7 *burqa* and *niqab* by Muslim women.

As it happens, the plan's announcement by Rita Verdonk, the hardline Dutch immigration minister, was a  
10 political stunt aimed at reviving her party's flagging fortunes before this week's election. But a new Dutch government, when one is eventually formed, may still adopt it. And the proposed  
13 ban follows a big debate about the Muslim veil in many other European countries.

In 2004 France passed a law to stop the wearing of the  
16 Muslim *hijab* (headscarf) by girls in state schools. Several German states have banned teachers from wearing the headscarf. One Belgian town has outlawed the *burqa* and *niqab*  
19 from its streets. Recently a former British foreign secretary, Jack Straw, caused a row by inviting his Muslim constituents to remove their veils when they met him; and a lawsuit confirmed  
22 that British schools could sack teachers who wore face-covering garments. Turkey, a mostly Muslim country, has banned the wearing of the veil in public buildings ever since  
25 Ataturk established the modern republic in the 1920s.

Those who favour such bans put forward four main arguments. First, the veil (especially the *burqa* and *niqab*)  
28 shows a refusal by Muslims to integrate into broader society; Britain's Tony Blair called it a "mark of separation". Second, such clothing is testimony to the oppression of Muslim women;  
31 they are said to don veils largely at the behest (or command) of their domineering menfolk. Third, the display of religious symbols is an affront to secular societies (this line resonates  
34 especially in France and Turkey). And fourth, there are settings — the schoolroom, the courthouse — in which the wearing of Muslim veils can be intimidating or off-putting to pupils or  
37 juries.

Some of these arguments are stronger than others. But none supports a blanket Dutch-style ban. Muslim dress can  
40 indeed appear as a mark of separation, but racial and sectarian discrimination surely counts far more — and bans on religious clothing are likely to aggravate it. Oppression of female  
43 Muslims is regrettably common, and should be resisted; but many women choose to wear the veil for cultural reasons, and others do so (as they do in Arab countries) as a sign of  
46 emancipation, or even as a fashion statement. France and Turkey have fiercely secular traditions that can be interpreted to justify restrictions on religious symbols; but such restrictions  
49 are best applied sparingly, and only in state offices, not in the streets. Similarly, decisions to bar the wearing of Muslim dress  
\_\_\_\_\_ courts or by teachers and pupils are surely better left  
52 \_\_\_\_\_ local discretion than imposed nationally.

Adapted from **No burqa bans**. In: **The Economist**, Nov. 25<sup>th</sup> 2006, p. 15.

**QUESTION 21**

According to the previous text, judge — right (C) or wrong (E) — each item below.

- 1 ( ) The Dutch immigration minister has exploited the ban on the Muslim veil for political gain.
- 2 ( ) The Dutch government's introduction of the ban on the wearing of the *burqa* and *niqab* in all public places has had a disastrous impact on the local Muslim community.
- 3 ( ) The idea conveyed by the proverb in English **Clothes make men** can be found in this text.
- 4 ( ) Turkey is the only Muslim country where women have never been allowed to wear veils in public.

**QUESTION 22**

In accordance with the previous text, judge — right (C) or wrong (E) — each statement below.

- 1 ( ) One of the arguments offered by supporters of the ban on veils is that women are forced by their male relatives to wear them.
- 2 ( ) The idea that Muslim women are said to don veils largely at the behest (or command) of their domineering menfolk can be summarized as: authoritarian men force their female relatives to cover their heads and faces.
- 3 ( ) One of the arguments offered by the opponents of the ban on veils is that women are forced by their male relatives to wear them.
- 4 ( ) The wearing of the veil is an unequivocal and universal symbol of female oppression.

**QUESTION 23**

The last sentence of the text has been left with two blank spaces. Choose the option below that contains the correct sequence of prepositions that fill in the blanks.

“Similarly, decisions to bar the wearing of Muslim dress \_\_\_\_\_ courts or by teachers and pupils are surely better left \_\_\_\_\_ local discretion than imposed nationally.”

- A from – to
- B to – for
- C on – for
- D inside – up
- E in – to

**QUESTION 24**

In the fragment “Recently a former British foreign secretary” (l.19-20), the **antonym** of “former” is

- A latter.
- B chief.
- C actual.
- D previous.
- E current.

**QUESTION 25**

In accordance with the previous text, judge — right (C) or wrong (E) — each item below.

- 1 ( ) In the text, “constituents” (l.20) means the same as **voters**.
- 2 ( ) In the text, “constituents” (l.20) means the same as **components**.
- 3 ( ) In the text, “secular” (l.47) is the same as **non-religious**.
- 4 ( ) In the text, “secular” (l.47) is the same as **centuries-old**.

**QUESTION 26**

In the sentence “But none supports a blanket Dutch-style ban” (l.38-39) the word “blanket” can be replaced, with no change in the meaning, by

- A partial.
- B temporary.
- C warm.
- D protective.
- E unlimited.

**Text for questions from 27 through 30**

1 Unlike Pombal, who had used the power of the state to ruthlessly force through a crash program of modernization, Salazar froze Portugal’s economic and social patterns. “We are  
4 antiparliamentarians, antidemocrats, antiliberals”, Salazar said in 1936. “We are opposed to all forms of internationalism, communism, socialism, syndicalism.” To govern, he said,  
7 without apology, “is to protect the people from themselves”.

Yet Salazar enjoyed sizable support. He had rooted his regime sufficiently in Portuguese social realities to garner for  
10 it a small measure of popular approbation. The church and the small landholders of the heavily Catholic north backed him. So did the *latifundiários*, the owners of big farming estates in the  
13 central and southern regions who feared a loss of their holdings if the left took power. The outlawed Portuguese Communist Party, formed in 1921, was especially strong in the south.

16 But Salazar could not freeze the world. In 1961, India seized Goa from a 3,500-man Portuguese garrison that had been ordered to “conquer or die”. In Africa, as the French and  
19 British were freeing their colonies, African nationalist guerrillas rose up against the Portuguese in Angola (1961), Guinea (1962), and Mozambique (1964).

22 Portugal was the last European power in Africa to cling tenaciously to the panoply of formal domination. This was no accident. For a long time Portugal very successfully disguised  
25 the nature of her presence \_\_\_ a skilful amalgam of historical mythmaking, claims \_\_\_ multiracialism, and good public relations.

Adapted from Kenneth Maxwell. *The making of portuguese democracy*. CUP, 1997, p.18-9.

**QUESTION 27**

In accordance with the previous text, judge — right (C) or wrong (E) — each item below.

- 1 ( ) Land owners feared Salazar would freeze their properties.
- 2 ( ) The setting free of the French and British colonies took place approximately at the same time as the fight for political freedom in some of the Portuguese African dominions.
- 3 ( ) Salazar’s support in the south of Portugal derived from the fact that landowners believed that if communists came to power they would confiscate their land.
- 4 ( ) The word “Unlike” (l.1) introduces the notion that Pombal’s and Salazar’s view on progress differed.

**QUESTION 28**

In the sentence “He had rooted his regime sufficiently in Portuguese social realities to garner for it a small measure of popular approbation” (l.8-10), “rooted” and “to garner” mean, respectively,

- A planted and to mirror.
- B sowed and to avoid.
- C approached and to save.
- D established and to gather.
- E viewed and to reject.

**QUESTION 29**

The last sentence of the text has been left with two blank spaces. Choose the option below that contains the correct sequence of words that fill in the blanks, keeping the main ideas of the text.

“For a long time Portugal very successfully disguised the nature of her presence \_\_\_ a skilful amalgam of historical mythmaking, claims \_\_\_ multiracialism, and good public relations.”

- A in – with
- B behind – for
- C in – for
- D with – in
- E behind – of

**QUESTION 30**

In the second line of the text, the word “crash” means

- A unexpected and notorious.
- B strong and efficient.
- C quick and complete.
- D partial and questionable.
- E modern and efficient.